Thermodynamic Modeling of CO₂+THF sll hydrate phase equilibria: Implication for hydrate-based CO₂ sequestration[#]

Dnyaneshwar R. Bhawangirkar¹, Zhenyuan Yin^{1*}

1 Institute of Ocean Engineering, Shenzhen International Graduate School, Tsinghua University, Shenzhen, 518055, China

(Corresponding Author: zyyin@sz.tsinghua.edu.cn)

ABSTRACT

Hydrate-based CO₂ sequestration has emerged as one of the possible methods for the long-term storage of CO₂ as hydrates within the geological media, notably under marine sediments. In this direction, a thermodynamic model for the phase equilibria of CO₂ hydrates in pure water and THF solutions (\leq 5.56 mol%), based on the fugacity approach is employed to predict the threephase (LHV) equilibrium pressures and the fractional occupancy of CO₂ and THF molecules in small and large cages. The hydrate, vapor, and liquid phases are modeled using the van der Waals-Platteeuw solid solution theory, Peng-Robinson-Stryjek-Vera equation of state, and the modified UNIFAC method, respectively. The modelpredicted equilibrium pressures are in excellent agreement with experimental data with an AAD of 1.55%. The presence of THF has greatly reduced the CO₂ hydrate equilibrium pressures. The cage occupancy results revealed the reduction in small cage occupancy of CO_2 at (\geq) 1.296 mol% THF and a continuous substantial decrease in large cage occupancy of CO₂ with increased THF concentration. However, the overall hydrate stability is ensured by the large cage occupancy of the large molecule THF in larger fractions. This study is an important precursor for future hydrate-based CO₂ sequestration.

Keywords: gas hydrates, CO₂ sequestration, mitigation technologies, thermodynamic modeling, THF, cage occupancy.

NONMENCLATURE

| Abbreviations | |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|
| LHV | liquid-hydrate-vapor |
| UNIFAC | Universal quasi-chemical Functional |
| | Group Activity Coefficients |
| %AAD | Percent absolute average deviation |
| THF | Tetrahydrofuran |

| М | Type of cavity (small or large) |
|------|---------------------------------|
| i, j | Number of guest components |
| n | Total number of data points |

1. INTRODUCTION

The continued increase in atmospheric greenhouse gases especially the increased CO_2 level is a serious threat to the global environment [1–3]. However, for a sustainable future, long-term CO₂ mitigation is essential and this is one of the urgent and a pressing issue that needs immediate attention to be addressed. Several CO₂ sequestration technologies have been proposed and tried; however, safe, long-term, cost-effective, stable, and high storage capacity are still issues that need to be considered seriously before the actual commercialization of any CO₂ sequestration process [2,3]. More recently, hydrate-based CO₂ sequestration has been regarded as an effective way to bring carbon neutrality, in which, CO_2 as a guest substance can be stored in the network of hydrogen-bonded water cavities that forms non-stoichiometric, crystalline solid hydrate at a certain temperature and pressure condition [1–3].

Gas hydrates have been studied extensively since Davy discovered hydrate formed from chlorine for the first time in 1810. Ever since then, these compounds have been the subject of curiosity all over the world to industry and academia due to their other potential importance such as in the flow assurance industry for their unwanted formation in pipelines, for their presence as natural gas hydrates that are considered as a source of energy, in storage and transportation of gases as hydrates, separation, desalination, refrigeration, and carbon sequestration [1,4–6]. Depending on the size of the guest molecule that is trapped inside the hydrate cages, either structure I/II/H is formed. All three hydrate structures have 5¹² as a common small cage that is formed by the combination of 12 pentagonal faces. Similarly, a $5^{12}6^2$ and $5^{12}6^4$ are the large cage types in sl

[#] This is a paper for the 10th Applied Energy Symposium: Low Carbon Cities & Urban Energy Systems (CUE2024), May. 11-12, 2024, Shenzhen, China.

and sII hydrate structure, respectively. A sH hydrate contains medium $4^35^66^3$ and large $5^{12}6^8$ cage type. A unit cell of sI hydrate has 2 small and 6 large cages, a sII hydrate has 16 small and 8 large cages, and sH hydrate has 1 small, 2 medium and 1 large cage per unit cell [1,4–6].

These solid compounds are highly compact as they release nearly 184 m³ of CO₂ gas from a 1 m³ of CO₂ hydrate at STP conditions [1]. Also, natural gas hydrates have existed in nature under deep marine sediments for millions of years; hence, the technology of CO₂ sequestration as hydrates in deep marine sediments is quite possible. However, it is essential to confirm the structural stability and high storage capacity of CO₂ hydrate forming at moderate conditions. A promoter such as THF is very well known to shift the thermodynamic condition of any hydrate and also influences guest occupancy in hydrate cages [7].

Therefore, in this direction, we have developed a fugacity-based thermodynamic model to predict the equilibrium pressures for CO₂ hydrate in three-phase LHV equilibria. Here, the hydrate phase is modeled using the van der Waals-Platteeuw (vdWP) solid solution theory. The fugacity of gas molecules in the vapor phase has been computed from the Peng-Robinson-Stryjek-Vera equation of state. Liquid phase activity coefficients are determined from the modified UNIFAC method for both the pure water system and the system involving the THF solution. In addition to the hydrate equilibrium pressure predictions, we have also predicted the guest occupancies in the hydrate cages through the developed model, which helped determine how much fraction of the hydrate cages are filled with CO₂ and THF. The following sections describe the thermodynamic model developed, the results and discussions, and then the conclusions at the end.

2. THERMODYNAMIC MODEL

The proposed thermodynamic model for threephase LHV equilibria of gas hydrates is based on the fugacity approach where the fugacity of water (f_w) in the hydrate phase (H) is equated to the fugacity of water in the coexisting liquid phase (L) [8]:

$$f_w^H = f_w^L \tag{1}$$

We have investigated the Peng-Robinson-Stryjek-Vera (PRSV) equation of state to estimate the fugacity of the gaseous component CO_2 in the vapor phase. The liquid phase activity coefficients have been estimated from the modified UNIFAC method (for both pure water and THF solutions) whereas the hydrate phase has been modeled

using the vdWP theory. The change in equilibrium condition due to the presence of THF has been taken into account through the changes in the reference hydrate vapor pressure and the Langmuir constant values.

The occupancy of guest molecules in small and large cages that helps in determining the fraction of CO_2 and THF getting occupied in the hydrate cages is dependent on the product of the Langmuir constant (*C*: describes the guest-water interaction inside the cavity) and fugacity (*f*) and is given by Eq. (2).

$$\theta_{ml} = \frac{C_{ml} f_l}{1 + \sum_j C_{mj} f_j}$$
(2)

The Langmuir constant is a function of temperature and is being estimated from the expression and the required parameters as given in Kluada and Sandler's work [8].

$$\% \text{AAD} = \frac{100}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \frac{P_i^{\text{exp}} - P_i^{\text{model}}}{P_i^{\text{exp}}} \right|$$
(3)

At equilibrium, the fugacity of water in the hydrate phase and the liquid phase will become equal and the equilibrium pressure will be predicted for the given temperature. The accuracy of the model is confirmed by finding the absolute deviation between the model predicted pressure (P^{model}) and experimental pressure (P^{exp}) at each point. The %AAD over the 'n' number of data points is calculated using the eq. (3).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The developed model is being used to predict the equilibrium pressure for CO_2 hydrate in LHV equilibria. In addition, we have predicted the cage occupancies of CO_2 and THF in small and large cages of the hydrate accurately.

- 5.0 O Exp Model (pure water) CO,+THF Model (1.296 mol%) Exp 4.5 O Exp Model (5.0 mol%) Model (5.56 mol%) 0 Exp 4.0 3.5 3.0 **P/MPa** 2.5 sI hydrate sII hydrate 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.0 274 276 278 280 282 284 286 288 290 292 T/K
- 3.1 Phase equilibrium plot of CO₂ hydrate in pure water and THF solutions (≤ 5.56 mol%)

Fig. 1 Phase diagram (P vs. T) for CO₂ hydrate in pure water and in the presence of 1.296, 5.0, and 5.56 mol% THF solution [1,9–11].

Fig. 1 represents the phase equilibrium plot for CO₂ hydrate formed in pure water (sI structure) and in the presence of varying THF concentrations such as 1.296, 5.0, and 5.56 mol% (sll structure). As seen in Fig. 1, the pressure required to form a stable CO₂ hydrate in the presence of pure water at any given temperature is high compared to what it is required in the presence of THF. THF being a large molecule cannot fit into the large cage of sI hydrate; hence, a sII structure is formed in the presence of THF at any given concentration during the CO₂ hydrate formation. A large molecule like THF has a very strong interaction with the large cage, and this ultimately reduces the overall hydrate equilibrium pressure and promotes the formation of a stable CO₂ hydrate. As in Fig. 1, the equilibrium pressure keeps decreasing with the increase in THF concentration and reaches saturation at the stoichiometric THF concentration of 5.56 mol%. This confirms the fact that the hydrate can be stored under moderate conditions.

3.2 Cage occupancy of CO₂/THF

3.2.1 Small cage occupancy of CO₂

The cage occupancy details are of great importance as they give an idea of how much fraction of the hydrate cages are filled with CO_2 and THF; and how THF affects the CO_2 occupancy.



Fig. 2 Small cage occupancy of CO₂ vs. temperature plot in the presence of 1.296, 5.0, and 5.56 mol% THF solution.

Fig. 2 represents the occupancy of CO_2 in small cages of sI and sII hydrates with temperature. From Fig. 2, it is clear that the small cage occupancy of CO_2 when hydrate forms sI structure is higher than the CO_2

occupancy when hydrate forms sII structure in the presence of THF with a concentration of \leq 1.296 mol%. However, the CO₂ occupancy in small cages of sII hydrate structure at certain temperatures in THF solutions (especially at higher temperatures) is higher than CO₂ occupancy in small cage sI hydrate structure. As mentioned in eq. (2) that the occupancy is a product between the Langmuir constant values and the fugacity values. The interactions between the guest and host molecule in small and large cages of sI and sII structure vary and this causes changes in the occupancies of the CO₂ molecule in small cages of sII hydrate. However, in general, the occupancy of CO₂ in small cages of sII hydrate seems to be affected by the presence of THF in large cages of sII hydrate.

3.2.2 Large cage occupancy of THF and CO₂



Fig. 3 Large cage occupancy vs. temperature plot for (a) THF (b) CO₂, in the presence of 1.296, 5.0, and 5.56 mol% THF solution.

The large THF molecule easily fits inside the large cage of sII hydrate, and hence, this leaves no place for the CO₂ molecules to get inside the larger cages of the sII hydrate. As seen in Fig. 3(a), the increase in THF concentration further increases the occupancy of THF molecules. Almost all the large cages are completely occupied by THF molecules at 5.56 mol% THF concentration. On the other hand, there is a possibility for a small fraction of CO₂ molecules to occupy large cages of sll hydrate; however, this is possible only at low concentration of THF such as 1.296 mol% (Fig. 3(b)). For higher THF concentrations such as 5.0 and 5.56 mol%, this value becomes insignificant. However, as in Fig. 3(b), compared to the CO₂ occupancy in large cages of sI hydrate, the occupancy of CO₂ in large cages of sll hydrate is completely affected only due to the presence of THF molecule. The increase in temperature decreases the occupancy of THF and increases the CO₂ occupancy in larger cages of sll hydrate.

3.2.3 Fractional occupancy of THF and CO₂ in the hydrate phase

Fig. 4 represents the fractional occupancy of CO_2 and THF in the hydrate phase. The fractional occupancy of CO_2 in pure water is 100% as there will be only CO_2 as a guest molecule during the hydrate formation. However, in the presence of THF in the solution, a mixed hydrate will be formed and this will lead to the occupancy of CO_2 and THF divide in the hydrate phase in different fractions depending upon the THF concentration.



Fig. 4 Fractional occupancy of CO₂ and THF molecule in pure water and in the presence of 1.296, 5.0, and 5.56 mol% THF solution at 277.15 K.

As seen in Fig. 4, the fractional occupancy of CO_2 in the hydrate phase at 1.296 mol% THF concentration is higher than the THF fraction; however, the THF fraction

in the hydrate phase seems to be higher than the CO_2 fraction for THF concentrations at 5.0 and 5.56 mol%. This confirms the fact that the THF concentration plays a crucial role in deciding the storage capacity of the CO_2 in the hydrate phase.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, a fugacity-based thermodynamic model is developed that predicts the equilibrium pressures for CO₂ hydrate formed in pure water and in THF solutions (1.296, 5.0, and 5.56 mol%) precisely with an AAD of 1.55%. The CO₂ hydrate equilibrium pressures in the presence of THF are drastically reduced up to 5.56 mol% concentration compared to the equilibrium pressures of CO₂ hydrate formed in pure water presenting the strong promotion effect of THF. The small cage occupancy of CO₂ molecules in sll hydrate for any THF concentration (except at certain higher temperatures) are found to be lower than the values of CO₂ occupancy in small cages of sI hydrate structure, confirming the impact of THF even on the small cage occupancy of CO₂. The large cages are mostly occupied by THF molecules due to their large size and this makes it difficult for the CO₂ molecules to occupy in large cages of sll hydrate. However, at low THF concentration (1.296 mol%), a small fraction of CO₂ molecules occupied the large cages of sll hydrate and this value kept increasing with the increase in temperature. The fraction of CO₂ in the hydrate phase at a low concentration of THF (1.296 mol%) is higher than the THF fraction. However, at 5.0 and 5.56 mol% THF, the fraction of THF in the hydrate phase is observed to be higher than the CO₂ fraction. The THF concentration plays an important role in deciding the overall fraction of CO₂ to be stored in the hydrate phase. This overall study is an important contribution towards a better understanding of the phase equilibria and the cage occupancies of CO₂ and THF in the hydrate phase and helps in the advancement of CO₂ sequestration as hydrates in the marine environment and for CO₂ storage applications.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The financial support from China National Science Foundation (52306254), Special project for marine economy development of GuangDong (six marine industries) (GDNRC[2024]48), Shenzhen Science and Technology Program (Grant No. JCYJ20220530142810023, GJHZ20220913143001002, JCYJ20230807111600001) and Tsinghua Shenzhen International Graduate School (HW2021002 and JC2021008) are acknowledged. Z. Y. would like to thank Guangdong Pearl River Talent Program (2021QN02H836).

REFERENCE

[1] Sloan ED, Koh C. Clathrate Hydrates of Natural Gases, 3rd ed. New York: CRC Press, Boca Raton; 2007.

[2] Liu X, Li Y, Chen GJ, Chen DY, Sun B, Yin Z. Coupling Amino Acid with THF for the Synergistic Promotion of CO_2 Hydrate Micro Kinetics: Implication for Hydrate-Based CO_2 Sequestration. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 2023;11: 6057–6069.

[3] Zheng J, Chong ZR, Qureshi MF, Linga P. Carbon Dioxide Sequestration via Gas Hydrates: A Potential Pathway toward Decarbonization. Energy Fuels. 2020;34:10529–10546.

[4] Sloan ED. Clathrate Hydrates: The Other Common Solid Water Phase. Ind Eng Chem Res 2000;39:3123– 3129.

[5] Sloan ED. Fundamental principles and applications of natural gas hydrates. Nature 2003;426:353–363.

[6] Sum AK, Koh CA, Sloan ED. Clathrate Hydrates: From Laboratory Science to Engineering Practice. Ind Eng Chem Res 2009;48:7457–7465.

 [7] Phan A, Schlösser H, Striolo A. Molecular mechanisms by which tetrahydrofuran affects CO₂ hydrate Growth: Implications for carbon storage. Chem Eng J 2021;418:129423.

[8] Klauda JB, Sandler SI. Phase behavior of clathrate hydrates: A model for single and multiple gas component hydrates. Chem Eng Sci 2003;58:27–41.

[9] Lee YJ, Kawamura T, Yamamoto Y, Yoon JH. Phase Equilibrium Studies of Tetrahydrofuran (THF)+CH₄, THF+CO₂, CH₄+CO₂, and THF+CO₂+CH₄ Hydrates. J Chem Eng Data 2012;57:3543–3548.

[10] Seo Y, Kang SP, Lee S, Lee H. Experimental Measurements of Hydrate Phase Equilibria for Carbon Dioxide in the Presence of THF, Propylene Oxide, and 1,4-Dioxane. J Chem Eng Data 2008;53:2833–2837.

[11] Li Y, Gambelli AM, Rossi F, Mei S. Effect of promoters on CO₂ hydrate formation: thermodynamic assessment and microscale Raman spectroscopy/hydrate crystal morphology characterization analysis. Fluid Phase Equilib 2021;550:113218.